



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,312	09/30/2003	Hao Pan	SLA1347 (7146.0167)	8186
55648 7590 09/23/2010 KEVIN L. RUSSELL CHERNOFF, VILHAUER, MCCLUNG & STENZEL LLP 1600 ODS TOWER 601 SW SECOND AVENUE PORTLAND, OR 97204				
EXAMINER DHARIA, PRAEODH M				
ART UNIT 2629		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 09/23/2010		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/676,312		PAN ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	PRABODH M. DHARIA		2629	

All Participants:

(1) PRABODH M. DHARIA.

(2) Kurt Rohlf.

Date of Interview: 17 September 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *None.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Prabodh M Dharia/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner attempted to contact applicant's representative Kurt Rohlfis to resolve issue regarding Claims 1 and 2. Applicant's attorney did not answer the phone call and EXaminer left message suggesting if applicant's representative did not reply to examiner's request; Examiner will mail another office action. Applicant's representative Kurt Rohlfis failed to reply per Examiner request and Examiner did post another office action on 09-20-2010 to be mailed.